

# BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW  
500 12th Street, Suite 200, Oakland, CA 94607  
Telephone: (510) 663-1100 Facsimile: (510) 663-0920  
[www.beyerlaw.com](http://www.beyerlaw.com)

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 25 2005

## FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

February 25, 2005

**Receiver:** Examiner Shawn S. An (USPTO Central Fax)

**FAX # :** 571-273-8300

**Sender:** Godfrey K. Kwan  
**Our Ref. No.:** CISCP250

**Application No:** 09/905,600

**Re:** Non Compliant Amendment

**Pages Including Cover Sheet(s):** 13

**MESSAGE:**

---

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

FEB. 25, 2005 5:30PM 5106630920

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 098 P. 2

FEB 25 2005

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of Dolson et al.

Attorney Docket No.: CISCP250/4099

Application No.: 09/905,600


Examiner: Shawn S. An

Filed: July 13, 2001

Group: 2613

Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR  
IMPROVING TRANSRATING OF MPEG-  
2 VIDEO

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION:**  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by  
facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office,  
Commissioner for Patents, Attn: Examiner Shawn, Fax No. 571-  
273-8300, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on: February 25, 2005.

Signed: 

Joyce L. Ferreira

**NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:


Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above-identified application.

The fee has been calculated as shown below.

	Claims After Amendment		Highest Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Small Entity Rate Fee	Large Entity Rate Fee
Total Claims	24	MINUS	46	00	x 9 =	x 18 =
Independent Claims	03	MINUS	06	00	x 43 =	x 86 =
Multiple Dependent Claim Present and Fee Not Previously Paid				\$145.00	\$290.00	
				Total	\$	\$0

- Applicant(s) believe that no (additional) Extension of Time is required; however, if it is determined that such an extension is required, Applicant(s) hereby petition that such an extension be granted and authorize the Commissioner to charge the required fees for an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136 to Deposit Account No. 500388.
- Please charge the required fees, or any additional fees required to facilitate filing the enclosed response, to Deposit Account No. 500388 (Order No. CISCP250).

Respectfully submitted,  
BEYER/WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

  
Godfrey K. Kwan  
Reg. No. 46,850

P.O. Box 70250  
Oakland, CA 94612-0250

FEB. 25, 2005 5:30PM 5106630920

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NO. 098 P. 3

FEB 25 2005

PATENT

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE TATES PATENT**

In re application of: Dolson et al.

Attorney Docket No.: CISCP250/4099

Application No.: 09/905,600

Examiner: Shawn S. An

Filed: July 13, 2001

Group: 2613

Title: **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR  
IMPROVING TRANSRATING OF MPEG-  
2 VIDEO**

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION:**  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by  
facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office,  
Commissioner for Patents, Attn: Examiner Shawn, Fax No. 571-  
273-8300, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on: February 25, 2005.

Signed: 

Joyce L. Ferreira

**RESPONSE TO SECOND NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This amendment is submitted in response to the Communication mailed February 11, 2005 in which the response required by 37 CFR 1.121 was deemed incomplete because the Amendment did not include the withdrawn claims. It is believe that the claims as presented currently now indicate the proper status identifiers and the withdrawn claims. As such, it should be treated as a complete response to the outstanding office action as required by 37 CFR 1.114 and 37 CFR 1.111.

**Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims on page 2 of this paper.**

**Remarks begin on page 10 of this paper.**